UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,410	10/16/2003	Jayadev Billa	BBNT-P01-016	7825
²⁸¹²⁰ FISH & NEAV	7590 05/03/200 'E IP GROUP	EXAMINER		
ROPES & GRA		AZAD, ABUL K		
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE BOSTON, MA 02110-2624			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/685,410	BILLA ET AL.				
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		ABUL K. AZAD	2626				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spe - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the C	NGER, FROM THE MAILING DA available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. selfied above, the maximum statutory period we et or extended period for reply will, by statute,	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH (ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 16 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .	•				
2a) ☐ This action is F	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this appl	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-28</i> i	s/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s)	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	4				
Application Papers	·						
	on is objected to by the Examine	r ·	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or dec	claration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgme	_	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached	d detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cit		4) Interview Summary					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _		6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/685,410

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, drawn to a clustering tree data structure, classified in class
 704, subclass 242.
 - II. Claims 7-16, drawn to a clustering tree for classifying a group of sound, classified in class 704, subclass 254.
 - III. Claims 17-26, drawn to a speech recognition system and device, classified in class 704, subclass 245.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group I, Group II and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination claims 17-26 system of recognition does not required particulars of subcombinations as claimed tree data structure in claims 1-6, also subcombination as claimed classifying a group of sounds as claimed in claims 7-16. The subcombination has separate utility such as tree data structure and classifying a group of sounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,410

Art Unit: 2626

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Edward Gordon (Reg. No. 54130) on April 25, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,410 Page 4

Art Unit: 2626

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7599.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richemond Dorvil**, can be reached at **(571) 272-7602.**

Art Unit: 2626

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: (571) 273-8300.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street, Alexandria,**VA-22314 (Customer Service Window).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 30, 2007

Abul K. Azad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626